

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/612,945	12,945 07/10/2000 Tomoo Tsunenari		37B.P61	9915	
5514 75	12/09/2003		EXAMINER		
	K CELLA HARPER & S	POND, ROBERT M			
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK, 1		The state of the s	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3625		
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicantis Offfice Action Summary Sulphanes Sulphane	(19			Application No.	Applicant(a)					
Examiner Robert M. Pond 3825										
Robert M. Pond S256 Period for Reply	Office Action Summany			09/612,945						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension for the pine sheet under the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 53 (c) MONTHS from his mailing date of this communication of 37 CPR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 53 (c) MONTHS from his mailing date of this communication. Plant is the six of the communication of the co		Office Action Summary		Examiner						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (ER 1.136(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (ER 1.136(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (ER 1.136(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (ER 1.136(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (ER 1.136(a)). In no event time the main of the communication of the provision of the communication of the provision of the communication. Extension of the provision of the provision of the communication. Extension of the communication of the communication. Extension of the communication of the communi										
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exemisors of time ray be available under be provided in 2015 A 138(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely flied after SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication is six (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stationsy peared within the statistic provided within the six of the communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statistory peared will apply and will exergist (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (53 U.S.C.§ 133). - Any reply received by the Office are then three membras after the mailing date of this communication, even if streety flied, may reduce any secure power term objective to communication (5) flied on 20 October 2003. - Any reply received by the Office are then three membras there the mailing date of this communication, even if streety flied, may reduce any secure power of the communication of the com			nication app	ears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 October 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some one of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No spiciation from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Patsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 10 Notice of Informa	THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any - eame	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum street to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months.	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. 30) days, a reply tatutory period w y will, by statute,	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are abjected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 19(e) (to a provisional application pate translation of the foreign language provisional application in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR		Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>20 O</i>	ctober 2003						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-392) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-392) 3) Notice of References Cited (PTO-392) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	· -			 						
A) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 10.July 2000 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of	Dispositi	·			,					
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filled on 10 July 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		J. 1011 G. 107 G.	olosion requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•	e Evamine	r						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ed to by the Examiner					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,	*		_ · · · · · · · ·	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 10 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific 									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmen	t(s)								
	2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		5) Notice of Inf						

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Applicant filed a Request for Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and requested consideration of previously amendment/reply und 37 CFR 1.116 previously filed 21 July 2003. The Applicant amended Claims 1, 10, 15, 16, and 23. All pending claims, 1-27, were examined in this non-final Office Action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, pages 10-14, filed 21 July 2003, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-27 under 35 USC 102(e) and 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of USPS and SmartShip. USPS and SmartShip provide the basis for the rejection teaching online merchandise return, recyclable toner cartridges as returnable product, customers printing shipping labels on laser printers and affixing labels for return package shipping, and return destination based on the product type.

Art Unit: 3625

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: see at least Figure 2, S200; Figure 5, 51; Figure 7, 71. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requires of this title.

2. Claims 1 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 USC 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims lack structural specificity. The claims are directed to a process that does nothing more than manipulate an abstract idea. Mere recitation in the preamble (i.e., intended use) or mere implication of employing a machine or article of manufacture to perform some or all of the recited steps does not confer statutory subject matter to an otherwise abstract idea There is no practical application in the technological arts. For subject matter to be statutory, the claimed process must be limited to a practical application of the abstract idea or

Art Unit: 3625

mathematical algorithm in the technological arts. See *In re Alappat* 33 F.3d at 1543, 31 USPQ2d at 1556-57 (quoting *Diamond V. Diehr*, 450 U.S. at 192, 209 USPQ at 10). A claim is limited to a practical application when the method, as claimed, produces a concrete, tangible and useful result: i.e. the method recites a step or act of producing something that is concrete, tangible and useful. *See AT&T v. Excel Communications Inc.*, 172 F.3d at 1358, 50 USPQ2dat 1452.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5-6, 10, 13, 15-16, 22-23, and 26 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPS (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: U-X) in view of SmartShip (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: UU-VV).

USPS teaches Returns@ease software program (please note the software program contains code for the computer to execute) allowing customers to notify a participating web merchant about the item they wish to return, printing out on the customer's printer in seconds a pre-paid merchandise return label from the company's retail web site, and returning the item via pickup or drop-off at a

Art Unit: 3625

mailbox of post office (Item: U, please see page 1). USPS teaches recyclable toner cartridges as returnable items, the practice of high-end retailers including shipping labels with products and using United Parcel Service's authorized return service and e-shipping that allows online customers to pay by credit card, generate a label, and drop the package off at a UPS counter (Item: U, see page 2). USPS teaches a) Altrec.com implementing USPS' electronic merchandise return service, also known as Returns@ease, which allows the company to credit customers faster than traditional cataloguers or brick-and-mortar companies that include return labels with every shipment, b) when Altrec.com's electronic return label is created the company's warehouse and customer service people are immediately alerted and have all the information about the item being returned, reason, and price (Item: U, see page 2), and c) Altrec.com testing the online program with USPS in the middle of September 1999, scanning returned merchandise label and automatically crediting the customer's credit card (Item: V, see page 1). USPS teaches Return@ease receiving return shipping data from a merchant's web site, customers printing out the label, which is actually a twodimensional indicia or bar code containing shipping information, on any printer (Item: W, page 1), and expanding the returns service to reroute the package to an online auctioneer, who would sell the item and then send the proceeds to the retailer (Item: X, page 1).

USPS teaches all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teaches a) USPS' electronic merchandise return service (Returns@ease) automatically

Art Unit: 3625

determining the carrier service and transmitting a merchant's address (destination for the returned product) information to be printed by the customer to be affix to the returned merchandise's packaging, and b) routing a returned merchandise package to a third-party destination (e.g. auctioneer) based on type of product (e.g. product is not selling well) (Item: X, page 3), but does not disclose automatically determining a present location of the merchandise. SmartShip teaches SmartShip.com receiving approval to provide electronic retailers access to the USPS electronic merchandise returns services (Item: UU, see page 1), and further teaches use of customer zip code and shipping destination zip code to compare shipping rates and to select among a finite list of competing carriers displayed to the user (Item: UU, page1, Item: VV, page 1). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify USPS to include zip code information to determine present location of the merchandise as taught by SmartShip, in order to determine a cost effective carrier service.

4. Claims 2-3, 17-18, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPS (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: U-X) and SmartShip (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: UU-VV), as applied to Claims 1, 16, and 23, further in view of Caldwell (PTO-892, Item: UUU).

Art Unit: 3625

USPS and SmartShip teach all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teach merchandise return processing via a web site, and transmitting purchase information during the approval process but do not disclose product serial numbers and customer information stored in a database. Caldwell teaches reverse logistics as the handling and disposition of returned goods, being crucial for online sales due to staggering amount of returned products, and WorldSpy integrating online reverse logistics into its shopping portals. Caldwell teach customers filling out a return notice on WorldSpy's web site, customers being advised to send the item(s) to a central warehouse managed by UPS Worldwide Logistics or directly back to the manufacturer, and teach building the system using Visual Basic and Microsoft's SQL Server 7.0 database. Caldwell further teaches Great Plains Software concentrating on reverse logistics, and implementing a returns management module that uses serial numbers to track returned parts throughout the process (Item: UUU, see at least pages 2-3, and 6). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the system, method, and program code of USPS and SmartShip to include use of product serial numbers in a reverse logistics process and web sever database to manage information as taught by Caldwell, in order to efficiently track and manage the online returns process.

5. Claims 4, 11-12, and 27 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPS (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: U-

Art Unit: 3625

X), SmartShip (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: UU-VV), and Caldwell (PTO-892, Item: UUU), as applied to Claims 2, 10, and 23, further in view of Lidsky (PTO-892, Item: VVV) and Williams et al. (patent application publication number 2002/0032573).

USPS, SmartShip, and Caldwell teach all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection but do not disclose using name and password identification. Lidsky teaches SmartShip.com competing with iShip.com in the same market to provide customers with and easy way to pick a carrier service. Williams et al. teach the system and method of iShip.com of providing online merchandise return services, storing information in databases, and further teach use of name and password protection by authorized customers and customer printing shipping label for selected package carrier (see at least abstract; Fig. 7; Fig. 30a (22, 4362); Figs. 30b-c; Fig. 31 (4402); Fig. 32 (4420); Fig. 34 (22, 752, 815); Fig. 36 (900, 907, 908); Fig. 52 (330); col. 14, paragraph 0262 through col. 16, paragraph 0281). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the system, method, and program code of USPS, SmartShip, and Caldwell to include customer identification information as taught by Lidsky and Williams et al., in order to attract users wanting to use a secure commerce site for shipping return

6. Claims 7, 9, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPS (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: U-

Art Unit: 3625

X) and SmartShip (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: UU-VV), as applied to Claims 1 and 16, further in view of PR Newswire (PTO-892, Item: WW).

USPS and SmartShip teach all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teach a recyclable toner cartridge as a returnable product and the customer printing the return shipping label on any type of printer and specifically on a laser printer (Items: U, page 2; W, page 1; UU, page 1), but do not specifically disclose a laser printer toner cartridge as a returnable recyclable product. PR Newswire teaches Canon USA introducing new laser printers into the market place, the use of laser toner cartridges, Canon USA instituting the Clean Earth Campaign in 1990 which supports environmental issues, and collecting millions of toner cartridges for recycling and reuse. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system and method of USPS and SmartShip to disclose laser printer toner cartridges as returnable product for recycling as taught by PR Newswire, in order to attract customers desiring to return recyclable laser toner cartridges.

7. Claims 8 and 21 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPS (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: U-X), SmartShip (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: UU-VV), and PR Newswire (PTO-892, Item: WW), as applied to Claims 1 and 20, further in view of Martin (PTO-892, Item: XX)

Art Unit: 3625

USPS, Smartship, and PR Newswire teach all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection and teach Canon recycling printer cartridges, but do not disclose the use of a chip adapted to a printer cartridge to collect product information.

Martin teaches laser printer toner cartridge recycling, Canon competitors producing Canon compatible cartridges, and introduction of smart supplies used in laser printers, and by example the Lexmark LaserJet 8100 cartridge having chips that provide feedback to users on toner usage and other information.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the system and method of USPS, SmartShip, and PR Newswire to include a chip adapted to a product as taught by Martin, in order to facilitate efficient product use.

8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPS (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: U-X) and SmartShip (a collection of articles cited in PTO-892, Items: UU-VV), as applied to Claim 10, in view of Gralla (Paper #4, PTO-892, page 2, Item: V).

USPS and SmartShip teach all the above as noted under the 103(a) rejection further teach transmitting information via a web interface, but do not disclose the use of a cookie to pass or collect information from the customer's computer.

Gralla teaches the use of cookies by Internet web sites to pass and collect information from a web client computer. Gralla teaches cookies as bits of data being deposited on a client's hard disk when visiting the web site, and the cookie

Art Unit: 3625

Page 11

being used to convey information to the server. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the system and method of USPS and SmartShip to use the customer's cookie as taught by Gralla, in order to make it easier to conduct electronic business with the web server.

Art Unit: 3625

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mr. Robert M. Pond** whose telephone number is 703-605-4253. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:30AM-5:30PM Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Mr. Vincent Millin** can be reached on 703-308-1065.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **703-308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

703-872-9306 (Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

Patent Examiner
December 1, 2003